# DEFINABLE G CW COMPLEX STRUCTURES OF DEFINABLE G SETS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

#### TOMOHIRO KAWAKAMI

## Received July 14, 2003

ABSTRACT. Let G be a compact definable group. We prove that every pair of a definable G set and its closed definable G subset admits simultaneously definable G complex structures. As its applications, we prove that a canonical map from the set of definable G homotopy classes of definable G maps between definable G sets to that of G homotopy classes of continuous G maps between them is bijective. Moreover we prove that if G is a finite group, then the set of G vector bundle isomorphism classes of G vector bundles over a definable G set corresponds bijectively to that of definable G vector bundle isomorphism classes of definable G vector bundles.

### 1. Introduction

Let G be a compact Lie group and X a semialgebraic G set. Then X admits a semialgebraic G complex structure [15], and semialgebraic G sets and semialgebraic G maps are studied in [14]. Fundamental properties of semialgebraic sets and semialgebraic maps between them are collected in [3].

An o-minimal category expanding the standard structure  $\mathcal{R} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <)$  of the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers is larger than the semialgebraic category. Definable sets and definable maps between definable sets in an o-minimal structure are generalizations of semialgebraic sets and semialgebraic maps between semialgebraic sets. Many remarkable results on o-minimal categories are known (e.g. [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [16], [17]).

In this paper, we are concerned with definable G CW complex structures of definable G sets and their applications in an o-minimal expansion  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <, \dots)$  of  $\mathcal{R}$ . The term "definable" is used throughout in the sense of "definable with parameters in  $\mathcal{M}$ ". Detailed properties of definable sets and maps are collected in [5], and some of good references of o-minimal structures are [5], [8].

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be definable sets. A continuous map  $f: X \to Y$  is definable if the graph of  $f \subset X \times Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$  is a definable set. Note that if  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}$ , then a definable set is a semialgebraic set and a definable map between definable sets is a semialgebraic map [19]. A group G is a definable group if G is a definable set and the group operations  $G \times G \to G$  and  $G \to G$  are definable.

Let G be a compact definable group. A definable G set means a G invariant definable subset of some representation of G. A definable G CW complex is a finite G CW complex such that the characteristic map of each G cell is a definable G map (see Definition 2.2). Note that a G CW subcomplex of a definable G CW complex is a definable G CW complex itself.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 14P10, 57S10.

 $Keywords\ and\ Phrases.$  Transformation groups, definable G sets, definable G complexes, definable G vector bundles, o-minimal.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let G be a compact definable group. Let X be a definable G set and Y a closed definable G subset of X. Then there exist a definable G CW complex Z in a representation  $\Omega$  of G, a G CW subcomplex W of Z, and a definable G map  $f: X \to Z$  such that:

- 1. f maps X and Y definably G homeomorphically onto G invariant definable subsets  $Z_1$  and  $W_1$  of Z and W obtained by removing some open G cells from Z and W, respectively.
- 2. The orbit map  $\pi: Z \to Z/G$  is a definable cellular map.
- 3. The orbit space Z/G is a finite simplicial complex compatible with  $\pi(Z_1)$  and  $\pi(W_1)$ .
- 4. For each open G cell c of Z,  $\pi|\bar{c}:\bar{c}\to\pi(\bar{c})$  has a definable section  $s:\pi(\bar{c})\to\bar{c}$ , where  $\bar{c}$  denotes the closure of c in Z.

Furthermore, if X is compact, then Z = f(X) and W = f(Y).

As applications of Theorem 1.1, we have the following three results.

Let X and Y be definable G sets. Two definable G maps  $f,h:X\to Y$  are definably G homotopic if there exists a definable G map  $H:X\times[0,1]\to Y$  such that H(x,0)=f(x) and H(x,1)=h(x) for all  $x\in X$ , where the action on [0,1] of G is trivial. Let  $[X,Y]_{def}^G$  (resp.  $[X,Y]_{top}^G$ ) denote the set of definable G homotopy (resp. G homotopy) classes of definable G maps (resp. continuous G maps) from X to Y. Then we have a canonical map  $\mu:[X,Y]_{def}^G\to [X,Y]_{top}^G, \mu([f]_{def}^G)=[f]_{top}^G$ , where  $[f]_{def}^G$  (resp.  $[f]_{top}^G$ ) denotes the definable G homotopy (resp. G homotopy) class of f.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let G be a compact definable group, and X and Y definable G sets. Then  $\mu: [X,Y]_{def}^G \to [X,Y]_{top}^G, \mu([f]_{def}^G) = [f]_{top}^G$  is bijective.

Let G be a finite group. A definable G vector bundle  $\eta$  over a definable G set X is  $strongly\ definable$  if there exist a representation  $\Omega$  of G and a definable G map  $f:X\to G(\Omega,k)$  such that  $\eta$  is definably G vector bundle isomorphic to  $f^*(\gamma(\Omega,k))$ , where k denotes the rank of  $\eta$  and  $G(\Omega,k)$  means the universal G vector bundle associated with  $\Omega$  and k (see Definition 4.4).

Let X be a definable G set. Let  $Vect_{def}^G(X)$  (resp.  $Vect_{top}^G(X)$ ) denote the set of definable G vector bundle (resp. G vector bundle) isomorphism classes of definable G vector bundles (resp. G vector bundles) over X. Then there is a canonical map  $\kappa: Vect_{def}^G(X) \to Vect_{top}^G(X), \kappa([\eta]_{def}^G) = [\eta]_{top}^G$ , where  $[\eta]_{def}^G$  (resp.  $[\eta]_{top}^G$ ) denotes the definable G vector bundle (resp. G vector bundle) isomorphism class of g.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let G be a finite group and X a definable G set.

- (1) Every definable G vector bundle over X is strongly definable.
- (2) The canonical map  $\kappa : Vect_{def}^G(X) \to Vect_{top}^G(X), \kappa([\eta]_{def}^G) = [\eta]_{top}^G$  is bijective.

Let  $1 \leq r \leq \omega$ . Definable  $C^rG$  manifolds (resp. Definable  $C^rG$  vector bundles) are introduced in [12] (resp. [11]). For two definable  $C^rG$  manifolds X and Y,  $\mu'$ :  $[X,Y]_{def\ C^r}^G \to [X,Y]_{top}^G, \mu'([f]_{def\ C^r}^G) = [f]_{top}^G$  and  $\kappa'$ :  $Vect_{def\ C^r}^G(X) \to Vect_{top}^G(X)$ ,  $\kappa'([\eta]_{def\ C^r}^G) = [\eta]_{top}^G$  are defined similarly.

The following is a definable  $C^rG$  version of Theorem 1.2 and 1.3. Recall that an affine definable  $C^rG$  manifold means a definable  $C^rG$  manifold which is definably  $C^rG$  diffeomorphic to a G invariant definable  $C^r$  submanifold of some representation of G.

 $r < \infty$ .

- (1) The canonical map μ': [X, Y]<sup>G</sup><sub>def C<sup>r</sup></sub> → [X, Y]<sup>G</sup><sub>top</sub> is bijective.
  (2) The canonical map κ': Vect<sup>G</sup><sub>def C<sup>r</sup></sub>(X) → Vect<sup>G</sup><sub>top</sub>(X) is bijective.

# 2. Definable G sets and proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $X\subset\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $Y\subset\mathbb{R}^m$  be definable sets. A definable map  $f:X\to Y$  is called a  $definable\ homeomorphism$  if there exists a definable map  $h:Y\to X$  such that  $f\circ h=id$ and  $h \circ f = id$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** (1) (Definable triangulation (e.g. (8.2.9 [5])). Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a definable set and  $S_1, \ldots, S_k$  definable subsets of S. Then there exist a finite simplicial complex K in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and a definable map  $\phi: S \to \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\phi$  maps S and each  $S_i$  definably homeomorphically onto a union of open simplexes of K. If S is compact, then we can take  $K = \phi(S)$ .

(2) (Piecewise definable trivialization (e.g. 9.1.2 [5])). Let X and Y be definable sets and  $f: X \to Y$  a definable map. Then there exist a finite partition  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^k$  of Y into definable sets and definable homeomorphisms  $\phi_i: f^{-1}(T_i) \to T_i \times f^{-1}(y_i)$  such that  $f|f^{-1}(T_i) = p_i \circ \phi_i$ ,  $(1 \le i \le k)$ , where  $y_i \in T_i$  and  $p_i: T_i \times f^{-1}(y_i) \to T_i$  denotes the projection.

Let G and G' be definable groups. A definable group homomorphism  $G \to G'$  means a group homomorphism which is a definable map. An n-dimensional representation of a definable group G means  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the linear action induced by a definable group homomorphism from G to  $O_n(\mathbb{R})$ . A subgroup of a definable group is a definable subgroup of it if it is a definable subset of it. A definable map (resp. A definable homeomorphism) between definable G sets is a definable G map (resp. a definable G homeomorphism) if it is a G map.

Let G be a definable group. A definable set with a definable G action is a pair  $(X, \theta)$ consisting of a definable set X and a group action  $\theta: G \times X \to X$  such that  $\theta$  is a definable map. This action is not necessarily linear (orthogonal). Similarly, we can define definable G maps and definable G homeomorphisms between them.

By [16], if H is a definable subgroup of a compact definable group G, then G/H is a definable set, and the standard action  $G \times G/H \to G/H$  defined by  $(g,g'H) \mapsto gg'H$ of G on G/H makes G/H a definable set with a definable G action. Furthermore every definable subgroup of a definable group is closed [17].

## **Definition 2.2.** Let G be a compact definable group.

- (1) A definable G CW complex is a finite G CW complex  $(X, \{c_i | i \in I\})$  such that:
- (a) The underlying space |X| of X is a definable G set.
- (b) The characteristic map  $f_{c_i}: G/H_{c_i} \times \Delta \to \overline{c_i}$  of each open G cell  $c_i$  is a definable G map and  $f_{c_i}|G/H_{c_i} \times \operatorname{Int} \Delta : G/H_{c_i} \times \operatorname{Int} \Delta \to c_i$  is a definable G homeomorphism, where  $H_{c_i}$  is a definable subgroup of G,  $\Delta$  denotes a closed simplex,  $\overline{c_i}$  is the closure of  $c_i$  in X, and Int  $\Delta$  means the interior of  $\Delta$ .
- (2) Let X and Y be definable G CW complexes. A cellular G map  $f: X \to Y$  is definable if  $f: |X| \to |Y|$  is definable.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, recall an equivariant version of Theorem 2.1 (2) proved in [11].

**Theorem 2.3** (2.5 [11]). Let G be a compact definable group, X a definable G set, Y a definable set, and  $f: X \to Y$  a G invariant definable map. Then there exist a finite decomposition  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^k$  of Y into definable sets and definable G homeomorphisms  $\phi_i: f^{-1}(T_i) \to T_i \times f^{-1}(y_i)$  such that  $f|f^{-1}(T_i) = p_i \circ \phi_i$ ,  $(1 \le i \le k)$ , where  $p_i$  denotes the projection  $T_i \times f^{-1}(y_i) \to T_i$  and  $y_i \in T_i$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let  $\Omega$  be a representation of G containing X as a G invariant definable subset and  $\phi:\Omega\to\Omega$  a definable G homeomorphism  $x\mapsto x/(1+||x||)$ , where ||x|| denotes the standard norm of x. Replacing X by  $\phi(X)$ , we may suppose that X is bounded. Then the closure  $\overline{X}$  of X in  $\Omega$  is a compact definable G set. By 10.2.8 [5],  $\overline{X}/G$  is a compact definable set and the orbit map  $\pi_{\overline{X}}:\overline{X}\to\overline{X}/G$  is a definable map.

By Theorem 2.3, there exist a finite decomposition  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^k$  of  $\overline{X}/G$  into definable sets and definable G homeomorphisms  $\phi_i: B_i \times \pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(b_i) \to \pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(B_i)$ ,  $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ , such that  $\pi_{\overline{X}}|\pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(B_i) = p_i \circ \phi_i^{-1}$ ,  $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ , where  $b_i \in B_i$  and  $p_i$  denotes the projection  $B_i \times \pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(b_i) \to B_i$ . By Theorem 2.1 and since  $\overline{X}/G$  is compact, there exist a finite simplicial complex K and a definable homeomorphism  $\tau: \overline{X}/G \to K$  such that  $\tau$  maps each of  $\pi_{\overline{X}}(X), \{B_i\}, \pi_{\overline{X}}(Y), cl(\pi_{\overline{X}}(Y))$  onto a union of open simplexes of K, where  $cl(\pi_{\overline{X}}(Y))$  denotes the closure of  $\pi_{\overline{X}}(Y)$  in  $\overline{X}/G$ . Note that  $\tau(cl(\pi_{\overline{X}}(Y)))$  is a subcomplex of K.

We claim that each closed simplex  $\Delta \in K$  admits a definable section  $s: \tau^{-1}(\Delta) \to \pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(\Delta))$  of  $\pi_{\overline{X}}|\pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(\Delta))$ .

By the choice of a definable triangulation of  $\overline{X}/G$ , for each open simplex Int  $\Delta$ , there exists a definable G homeomorphism  $h:\pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}\Delta))\to\pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(a)\times\tau^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}\Delta)$  such that  $\pi_{\overline{X}}|\pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}\Delta))=p'\circ h$ , where  $p':\pi^{-1}(a)\times\tau^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}\Delta)\to\tau^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}\Delta)$  denotes the projection onto the second factor and  $a\in\tau^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}\Delta)$ . Thus we have a definable section  $\tilde{s}$  of  $\pi_{\overline{X}}|\pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}\Delta))$  defined by  $\tilde{s}(x)=h^{-1}(b,x)$ , where  $b\in\pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(a)$ . Since  $\overline{X}$  is compact,  $\Delta$  is a closed simplex and h is definable, we have a definable extension  $s:\tau^{-1}(\Delta)\to\pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(\Delta))$  of  $\tilde{s}$ . Thus the proof of the claim is complete. Set  $\sigma=s(\tau^{-1}(\Delta))$ . Then  $s\circ\tau^{-1}:\Delta\to\sigma$  is a definable homeomorphism. Hence there

Set  $\sigma = s(\tau^{-1}(\Delta))$ . Then  $s \circ \tau^{-1} : \Delta \to \sigma$  is a definable homeomorphism. Hence there exists a definable G map  $f_{\sigma} : G/H \times \Delta \cong G(b) \times \Delta \to G\sigma, (gH, x) \mapsto g(s\tau^{-1}(x))$  such that  $f_{\sigma}|G/H \times \text{Int } \Delta : G/H \times \text{Int } \Delta \to G\sigma$  is a definable G homeomorphism, where H denotes the isotropy subgroup of b. Furthermore  $f_{\sigma}$  itself is a definable G homeomorphism.

By collecting G cells  $G\sigma = \pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(\Delta))$  for all closed simplexes  $\Delta$  of K, we have a definable G CW complex Z such that  $|Z| = \overline{X}$  and  $Z/G = \overline{X}/G$ . Similarly, we have a subcomplex W of Z such that  $|W| = \overline{Y}$  and  $W/G = \overline{Y}/G$ , where  $\overline{Y}$  denotes the closure of Y in  $\Omega$ . By the construction of Z, the orbit map  $\pi : Z \to Z/G$  is a definable cellular map. Taking  $Z_1 = \bigcup \{\pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}\Delta)) | \Delta \in K, \tau^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}\Delta) \subset \pi_{\overline{X}}(X) \}$  and  $W_1 = \bigcup \{\pi_{\overline{X}}^{-1}(\tau^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}\Delta)) | \Delta \in K, \tau^{-1}(\operatorname{Int}\Delta) \subset \pi_{\overline{X}}(Y) \}$ , we have the required definable G homeomorphism f from (X,Y) to  $(Z_1,W_1)$ .

Remark that in the proof of Theorem 1.1, replacing K by any subdivision  $K^*$  of K, we have the corresponding subdivision of  $Z^*$  of Z instead of Z.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let X be a definable G set and Y a definable G subset of X. A definable G retraction from X to Y is a definable G map  $r: X \to Y$  with  $r|Y = id_Y$ . A definable strong G deformation retraction from X to Y means a definable G map  $R: X \times [0,1] \to X$  such that R(x,0) = x for all  $x \in X$ , R(y,t) = y for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $t \in [0,1]$  and R(X,1) = Y, where the action on [0,1] is trivial. Note that  $R(\cdot,1): X \to Y$  is a definable G retraction from X to Y.

Let Z be a finite simplicial complex in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and X a union of open simplexes of Z. A subset Y of X is called a subcomplex of X if there exists a subcomplex  $Z_1$  of Z with  $Y = X \cap Z_1$ . Note that every subcomplex of X is closed in X. The first barycentric subdivision X' of X is the intersection of the first barycentric subdivision Z' of Z with X. Similarly, the nth barycentric subdivision of X is defined. The star  $St_X(Y)$  (resp.  $St_{X'}(Y)$ ) of Y in X (resp. X') is the union of all open simplexes  $\sigma$  of X (resp. X') with  $\overline{\sigma} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\overline{\sigma}$  denotes the closure of  $\sigma$  in Z.

The above terms are defined similarly for definable G CW complexes.

**Proposition 3.1** (2.2 [4]). Let X be a union of open simplexes of a finite simplicial complex and Y a subcomplex of X. Then there exists a semialgebraic strong deformation retraction from the star  $St_{X'}(Y)$  of Y in the first barycentric subdivision X' of X to Y.

Remark that in Proposition 3.1 we cannot replace  $St_{X'}(Y)$  by  $St_X(Y)$ .

Let X be a union of open simplexes of a finite simplicial complex Z. Then the maximal compact subcomplex Y of X' is  $\{\sigma \in Z' | \overline{\sigma} \subset X'\}$  and  $X' = St_{X'}(Y)$ , where X' and Z' mean the first barycentric subdivisions of X and Z, respectively, and  $\overline{\sigma}$  denotes the closure of  $\sigma$  in Z. Thus we the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. Let X be a union of open simplexes of a finite simplicial complex. Then X admits a semialgebraic strong deformation retraction from X to a compact semialgebraic subset Y of X.

The following is the equivariant definable version of it.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let G be a compact definable group and X a definable G set. Then there exists a definable strong G deformation retraction R from X to a compact definable G subset Y of X.

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega$  be a representation of G containing X as a definable G set. Then by Theorem 1.1, X is definably G homeomorphic to a union of open G cells of a definable G CW complex G in G. We identify G with its definably G homeomorphic image and replace G and G by their second barycentric subdivisions. For simplicity, we use the same letters G and G to mean them.

Let  $f_c: G/H \times \Delta \to \overline{c} \subset C$  be the definable characteristic map of an open G cell c of X and put  $\sigma = f_c(\{eH\} \times \text{Int } \Delta)$ , where  $\overline{c}$  denotes the closure of c in C. Note that  $c = G\sigma$  and  $\overline{c} = G\overline{\sigma} = \overline{G\sigma}$ , where  $\overline{\sigma}$  denotes the closure of  $\sigma$  in C.

Let Y denote the maximum compact G CW subcomplex of X. In other words, Y is the union of all open G cells c of X such that  $\overline{c} \subset X$ . Then  $\overline{c} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  for all open G cells c of X, thus the star  $St_X(Y)$  of Y in X is X.

Let  $C_n$  be the set of open G n-cells c of X such that  $c \cap Y = \emptyset$ . Clearly each  $C_n$  is a finite set and  $C_0 = \emptyset$ . Let  $X_0 = Y$  and  $X_n = Y \cup X^{(n)}$  for  $n \ge 1$ , where  $X^{(n)}$  denotes the union of open G r-cells c of X with  $r \le n$ . Clearly  $X_n = Y \cup \bigcup_{c \in \bigcup_{k=0}^n C_k} c$ .

By the construction of a definable G CW complex structure C of X, for each open G n-cell  $c \in C_n$ , there exists a proper subset  $\Delta'$  of  $\Delta$  obtained by removing some lower dimensional faces of  $\Delta$  such that  $f_c^{-1}(\bar{c} \cap X) = G/H \times \Delta'$ . Note that if  $\bar{c} \subset X$ , then  $\bar{c} \subset Y$  by the construction of Y. Let  $\delta = f_c(\{eH\} \times \Delta')$ . Then  $\sigma \subset \delta \subsetneq \bar{\sigma} = f_c(\{eH\} \times \Delta)$ , cl  $\sigma = \delta$  and  $G\delta = \text{cl } c$ , where cl  $\sigma$  (resp. cl c) denotes the closure of  $\sigma$  (resp. c) in X.

Remark that there exists a semialgebraic strong deformation retraction  $\Delta' \times [0, 1] \to \Delta'$  from  $\Delta'$  to  $\partial \Delta' := \Delta' - \text{Int } \Delta'$ . Thus for each open G n-cell  $c = G\sigma \in C_n$ , there exists a definable strong H deformation retraction  $F_{\delta}^n : \delta \times [0, 1] \to \delta$  from  $\delta$  to  $\partial \delta := \delta - \text{Int } \delta$ , because the action H action on  $\delta$  is trivial. Note that such a retraction exists because C and X are replaced by their second barycentric subdivisions. Using  $F_{\delta}^n$ , we have a definable strong G deformation retraction

$$R_{G\delta}^n := G \times_H F_{\delta}^n : (G \times_H \delta) \times [0,1] \to G \times_H \delta$$

from  $G \times_H \delta$  to  $G \times_H \partial \delta$ . Since  $G \times_H \delta \cong G\delta$  and  $G \times_H \partial \delta \cong G\partial \delta$ , it gives a definable strong G deformation retraction from  $G\delta$  to  $G\partial \delta$  ( $\subset X_{n-1}$ ).

Hence  $\cup \{R_{G\delta}^n | c \in C_n\}$  induces a definable strong G deformation retraction  $R^n : X_n \times [0,1] \to X_n$  from  $X_n$  to  $X_{n-1}$ . We can define  $R^{n-1} \bullet R^n : X_n \times [0,1] \to X_n$ ,

$$R^{n-1} \bullet R^n(x,t) = \begin{cases} R^n(x,2t) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1/2\\ R^{n-1}(R^n(x,1),2t-1) & \text{if } 1/2 \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus the required definable strong G deformation retraction  $R = R^1 \bullet R^2 \bullet \cdots \bullet R^{m-1} \bullet R^m : X \times [0,1] \to X$  from X to Y is obtained inductively, where  $m = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} | X = X_n\}$ .  $\square$ 

The following is useful to prove our results.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let G be a compact definable group and Y a closed definable G subset of a definable G set X. Then there exists a G invariant definable open neighborhood U of Y in X such that Y is a definable strong G deformation retract of both U and of the closure  $cl\ U$  of U in X.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, we may assume that X is a union of open G cells of a definable G CW complex C. We replace C and X by their second barycentric subdivisions. We use the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 unless otherwise specified.

Let  $U = St_X(Y)$ . Let  $S_n$  be the set of open G n-cells c of  $St_X(Y)$  such that  $c \cap Y = \emptyset$ , and put  $X_0 = Y$  and  $X_n = Y \cup \bigcup_{c \in \bigcup_{k=0}^n S_k} c$ . Note that cl  $U = \bigcup \{ \text{cl } c | c \text{ is an open } G \text{ cell of } U \}$ , where cl c denotes the closure of c in X.

Let  $f_c: G/H \times \Delta \to \overline{c} \subset C$  be the definable characteristic map of an open G cell  $c \in S_n$ , where  $\overline{c}$  denotes the closure of c in C. As in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we can find a subset  $\Delta'$  of  $\Delta$  obtained by removing some lower dimensional faces of  $\Delta$  such that  $f_c^{-1}(\overline{c} \cap X) = G/H \times \Delta'$ . Thus there exists a semialgebraic strong deformation retraction from  $\Delta'$  to the union  $\Delta''$  of faces d of  $\partial \Delta' := \Delta' - \operatorname{Int} \Delta'$  such that  $d' \cap \overline{f_c}^{-1}(Y) \neq \emptyset$ , where d' denotes the closure of d in  $\Delta'$  and  $\overline{f_c}: \Delta' \to X$  is the composition of  $\Delta' \to \{eH\} \times \Delta', x \mapsto (eH, x)$  with  $f_c$ . Note that  $\Delta''$  is a proper subset of  $\partial \Delta'$  and such a retraction exists because C and X are replaced by their second barycentric subdivisions. As in the proof of Theorem

3.3, using this retraction, we have a definable strong G deformation retraction  $R_{G\delta}^n$  from  $G\delta$  to  $G\tilde{\delta} \subset S_{n-1}$ , where  $\tilde{\delta}$  denotes the union of faces e of  $\partial \delta$  such that the closure of e in X intersects with Y. Hence  $\cup \{R_{G\delta}^n | c \in S_n\}$  induces a definable strong G deformation retraction from  $S_n$  to  $S_{n-1}$ . Thus, as in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we have the required definable strong G deformation retraction from both G0 and G1 to G2.

The following proposition shows the surjectivity of  $\mu$  in Theorem 1.2.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let G be a compact definable group, X and Y be definable G sets. Then every continuous G map  $f: X \to Y$  is G homotopic to a definable G map.

To prove Proposition 3.5, we need the following lemma. It is proved by the polynomial approximation theorem and an observation similar to 4.3 [11].

**Lemma 3.6.** Let G be a compact definable group and X a compact definable G set. Then every continuous G map f from X to a representation  $\Omega$  of G is approximated by polynomial G maps.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. Let Y be a definable G set in a representation  $\Xi$  of G. By Theorem 3.3, there exists a definable strong G deformation retraction  $R_Y: Y \times [0,1] \to Y$  from Y to a compact definable G subset B of Y. Put  $K: X \times [0,1] \to Y, K(x,t) = R_Y(f(x),t)$ . Then K is a G homotopy from f to  $r_Y \circ f$ , where  $r_Y := R_Y(\cdot,1)$ .

Assume that X is compact. We now construct a definable G map which is G homotopic to  $r_Y \circ f$ . Since B is compact, there exists a real number r > 0 such that B is contained in the interior of  $D := \{x \in \Xi | ||x|| \le r\}$ . By Theorem 1.1, we may assume that (D, B) is a pair of a definable G complex and its G complex. By Theorem 3.4, there exists a definable G retraction  $r_V$  from a G invariant definable open neighborhood V of G in G to G. By Lemma 3.6, we can approximate G by a polynomial G map G is sufficiently close to G is an open subset of G, we can take G with G is sufficiently close to G is an assume the line segment G in G is a definable G approximation of G is a definable G is a definable G approximation of G is a definable G in G is a definable G is a definable G is a definable G in G is a definable G is a definable G is a definable G in G is a definable G in G in G in G is a definable G in G in

The map  $P: X \times [0,1] \to B$  defined by  $P(x,t) = r_V((1-t)(r_Y \circ f)(x) + tp(x))$  is a G homotopy from  $r_Y \circ f$  to h. Thus the homotopy composition  $K * P: X \times [0,1] \to Y$ ,

$$K * P(x,t) = \begin{cases} K(x,2t) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1/2 \\ P(x,2t-1) & \text{if } 1/2 \le t \le 1 \end{cases}$$

is a G homotopy from f to h. Therefore the result follows in this case.

Now assume that X is general. By Theorem 3.3, we can find a definable strong G deformation retraction  $R_X: X \times [0,1] \to X$  from X to a compact definable G subset A of X. By the compact case, there exist a G homotopy  $F: A \times [0,1] \to Y$  and a definable G map  $u: A \to Y$  such that F(x,0) = f(x), F(x,1) = u(x) for all  $x \in A$ . Put  $H = F \circ (r_X \times id_{[0,1]}): X \times [0,1] \to Y$ , where  $r_X := R_X(\cdot,1)$ . Then H is a G homotopy from  $f \circ r_X$  to  $u \circ r_X$ . Note that  $f = f \circ id_X \underset{f \circ R_X}{\sim} f \circ r_X \underset{H}{\sim} u \circ r_X$ . Therefore f is G homotopic to a definable G map  $h := u \circ r_X$ .

A pair (X, Y) consisting of a definable G set X and a definable G subset Y of X admits a definable G homotopy extension if for any definable G map f from X to a definable G set Z and any definable G homotopy  $F: Y \times [0,1] \to Z$  with F(y,0) = f(y) for all

 $y \in Y$ , there exists a definable G homotopy  $H: X \times [0,1] \to Z$  such that H(x,0) = f(x) for all  $x \in X$  and  $H|Y \times [0,1] = F$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** Let G be a compact definable group. If X is a definable G set and Y is a closed definable G subset of X, then  $Y \times [0,1] \cup (X \times \{0\})$  is a definable strong G deformation retract of  $X \times [0,1]$ . In particular (X,Y) admits a definable G homotopy extension.

To prove Theorem 3.7, we need the following result.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let G be a compact definable group and A, B disjoint definable closed G subsets of a definable G set X. Then there exists a G invariant definable map  $f: X \to [0,1]$  with  $A = f^{-1}(0)$  and  $B = f^{-1}(1)$ .

*Proof.* By 10.2.8 [5], X/G is a definable set and the orbit map  $\pi: X \to X/G$  is a definable map. Since  $\pi$  is closed and by 6.3.8 [5], there exists a definable map  $h: X/G \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $\pi(A) = h^{-1}(0)$  and  $\pi(B) = h^{-1}(1)$ . Thus  $f := h \circ \pi: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is the required G invariant definable map.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. By Theorem 3.4, there exist a G invariant definable open neighborhood U of Y in X and a definable strong G deformation retraction  $H: \operatorname{cl} U \times [0,1] \to \operatorname{cl} U$  from  $\operatorname{cl} U$  to Y, where  $\operatorname{cl} U$  denotes the closure of U in X. By Proposition 3.8, we have a G invariant definable map  $\lambda: X \to [0,1]$  with  $\lambda^{-1}(0) = X - U$  and  $\lambda^{-1}(1) = Y$ . Put

$$\begin{split} B &= \{(x,t) \in \operatorname{cl} \, U \times [0,1] | \frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda(x) < 1, 2(1-\lambda(x)) \leq t \leq 1 \}, \\ C &= \{(x,t) \in \operatorname{cl} \, U \times [0,1] | \frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda(x) < 1, 0 \leq t \leq 2(1-\lambda(x)) \}, \\ D &= \{(x,t) \in \operatorname{cl} \, U \times [0,1] | 0 \leq \lambda(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \}, \text{and } E = (X-U) \times [0,1]. \end{split}$$

Then B, C, D, E are G invariant definable subsets of  $X \times [0, 1]$  such that  $X \times [0, 1] = (Y \times [0, 1]) \cup B \cup C \cup D \cup E$ , D and E are closed in  $X \times [0, 1]$ ,  $B' = B \cup (Y \times [0, 1])$  and that  $C' = C \cup (Y \times \{0\})$ , where B' (resp. C') denotes the closure of B (resp. C) in  $X \times [0, 1]$ . Define  $\psi : C \to [0, 1], \psi(x, t) = \frac{t}{2(1 - \lambda(x))}$ . Then  $\psi$  is a G invariant definable function. Now we define a definable G retraction  $R : X \times [0, 1] \to (Y \times [0, 1]) \cup (X \times \{0\})$ ,

$$R(x,t) = \begin{cases} (r(x), t - 2(1 - \lambda(x)) & \text{if } (x,t) \in B \cup (Y \times [0,1]) \\ (H(x, \psi(x,t)), 0) & \text{if } (x,t) \in C \\ (H(x, 2t\lambda(x)), 0) & \text{if } (x,t) \in D \\ (x,0) & \text{if } (x,t) \in E \end{cases},$$

where  $r := H(\cdot, 1)$ . Then R is a well-defined definable map.

To see continuity of R, it suffices to check that for a given point y of Y, R(x,t) converges to (y,0) if  $(x,t) \in C$  and (x,t) tends to (y,0). Since H is continuous at (y,t), for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta' > 0$  such that  $||x-y|| < \delta', |t'-t| < \delta' \Rightarrow ||H(x,t') - H(y,t)|| < \epsilon$ , where ||z|| denotes the standard norm of z in a representation of G containing X. By compactness of [0,1], there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $||x-y|| < \delta \Rightarrow ||H(x,t) - y|| = ||H(x,t) - H(y,t)|| < \epsilon$  for any  $t \in [0,1]$ . Thus  $R(x,t) \to (y,0)$  as  $(x,t) \to (y,0)$ . Notice that  $\lim_{(x,t)\to(y,0),(x,t)\in C} \psi(x,t)$  does not necessarily exist.

Since the path H(x,t) from x to r(x) is contained in cl U for any  $x \in \operatorname{cl} U$ , we can define a definable G map  $\Psi: (X \times [0,1]) \times [0,1] \to X \times [0,1]$ ,

$$\Psi(x,t,s) = \begin{cases} (H(x,s), t - 2s(1 - \lambda(x)) & \text{if } (x,t) \in B \cup (Y \times [0,1]) \\ (H(x,s\psi(x,t)), t(1-s)) & \text{if } (x,t) \in C \\ (H(x,2st\lambda(x)), t(1-s)) & \text{if } (x,t) \in D \\ (x,t(1-s)) & \text{if } (x,t) \in E \end{cases}$$

Then  $\Psi$  has a definable graph. The continuity of  $\Psi$  is checked similarly. Therefore  $\Psi$  is the required definable strong G deformation retraction from  $X \times [0,1]$  to  $(Y \times [0,1]) \cup X \times \{0\}$  such that  $\Psi(x,t,0) = (x,t)$  and  $\Psi(x,t,1) = R(x,t)$  for any  $(x,t) \in X \times [0,1]$ .  $\square$ 

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need a relative version of Proposition 3.5.

Let X and Y be definable G sets, C a definable G subset of X, and  $\phi: C \to Y$  a definable G map. We say that two definable G extensions  $f,h:X\to Y$  of  $\phi$  are definably G homotopic relative to C if there exists a definable G map  $H:X\times[0,1]\to Y$  such that H(x,0)=f(x),H(x,1)=h(x) for all  $x\in X$  and  $H(c,t)=\phi(c)$  for all  $(c,t)\in C\times[0,1]$ . Let  $[X,Y]_{def}^{G,\phi}$  (resp.  $[X,Y]_{top}^{G,\phi}$ ) denote the set of definable G homotopy (resp. G homotopy) classes of definable G maps (resp. continuous G maps) from X to Y extending  $\phi$  relative to G. Then we have a canonical map  $\tilde{\mu}:[X,Y]_{def}^{G,\phi}\to[X,Y]_{top}^{G,\phi}$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}([f]_{def}^{G,\phi})=[f]_{top}^{G,\phi}$ , where  $[f]_{def}^{G,\phi}$  (resp.  $[f]_{top}^{G,\phi}$ ) denotes the definable G homotopy (resp. G homotopy) class of f relative to G.

**Proposition 3.9.** Let G be a compact definable group, X, Y definable G sets, and C a definable closed G subset of X. Then for a given definable G map  $\phi: C \to Y$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}: [X,Y]_{def}^{G,\phi} \to [X,Y]_{top}^{G,\phi}$  is surjective.

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1, we may assume that X is a union of open G cells of a definable G CW complex and that C is a subcomplex of X. We replace them by their second barycentric subdivisions, and use the same letters.

Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a continuous G map with  $f|C = \phi$ . By Theorem 3.4, there exists a definable strong G deformation retraction R from a G invariant definable closed neighborhood D of C in X to C. Let  $r = R(\cdot, 1)$ . Then there exist a definable G map  $\phi_1 := \phi \circ r : D \to Y$  and a G homotopy  $L: D \times [0,1] \to Y$  from f|D to  $\phi_1$  such that  $L(c,t) = \phi(c)$  for all  $(c,t) \in C \times [0,1]$ . By Theorem 3.7,  $D \times [0,1] \cup (X \times \{0\})$  is a definable strong G deformation retract of  $X \times [0,1]$ . Thus L is extendable to a G homotopy  $F: X \times [0,1] \to Y$  with F(x,0) = f(x) for all  $x \in X$  and  $F|D \times [0,1] = L$ . Let  $f_1 = F(\cdot,1)$ . Then  $f_1|D = \phi_1$ .

By Theorem 3.3, we can find a definable strong G deformation retraction  $R_X: X \times [0,1] \to X$  (resp.  $R_Y: Y \times [0,1] \to Y$ ) from X (resp. Y) to a compact definable G subset  $X_1$  (resp.  $Y_1$ ) of X (resp. Y). Using  $X_1, Y_1$ , we have a G homotopy  $H: X \times [0,1] \to Y$  from  $f_1$  to a definable G map  $f_2$  as in the proof of Proposition 3.5. By the construction of H and since  $f_1|D$  (=  $\phi_1$ ) is a definable G map,  $H|D \times [0,1]$  is a definable G map. However H does not necessarily satisfy the condition that  $H(c,t) = \phi(c)$  for all  $(c,t) \in C \times [0,1]$ . By Proposition 3.8, there exists a G invariant definable map  $\lambda: X \to [0,1]$  with  $\lambda^{-1}(0) = C$  and  $\lambda^{-1}(1) = X$  – Int D. Define a G homotopy  $\tilde{H}: X \times [0,1] \to Y$ ,  $\tilde{H}(x,t) = H(x,t\lambda(x))$ . Then  $\tilde{H}(c,t) = f_1(c) = \phi(c)$  for all  $(c,t) \in C \times [0,1]$ ,  $\tilde{H}(x,0) = f_1(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , and  $h(x) := \tilde{H}(x,1) = H(x,\lambda(x))$  is a definable G map because  $h|X - D = f_2|X - D$  and

 $H|D \times [0,1]$  is a definable G map. Therefore f is G homotopic to h and its homotopy is provided by the homotopy composition  $F * \tilde{H}$  of F with  $\tilde{H}$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It suffices to prove the injectivity of  $\mu$ . Let  $f,h:X\to Y$  be two definable G maps and  $F:X\times [0,1]\to Y$  a G homotopy between f and h. Since  $C:=X\times \{0,1\}$  is closed in  $X\times [0,1]$  and  $\phi:C\to Y, \phi:=f\amalg h$  is a definable G map and by Proposition 3.9, there exists a G homotopy between F and a definable G map  $F':X\times [0,1]\to Y$  relative to G. Therefore F' is a required definable G homotopy between G and G.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3 and 1.4

As a generalization of a semialgebraic space, we can consider a *definable space* which is a topological space obtained by gluing finitely many definable sets with definable homeomorphisms (see section 10.1 [5]). Clearly a definable set is a definable space. Similarly, we can define a *definable map* between definable spaces (see section 10.1 [5]).

Let G be a definable group. A definable G space is a pair  $(X, \theta)$  consisting of a definable space X and a group action  $\theta: G \times X \to X$  of G such that  $\theta$  is a definable map. Note that a definable G set is a definable G space. A definable map between definable G spaces is a definable G map if it is a G map.

- **Definition 4.1.** (1) Let  $\eta = (E, p, X)$  be a vector bundle of rank k over a definable set X. A finite family of local trivializations  $(U_i, \varphi_i : U_i \times \mathbb{R}^k \to p^{-1}(U_i))_{i \in I}$  of  $\eta$  is said to be a definable atlas of  $\eta$  if  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  is a finite definable open covering of X and for every pair  $(i, j) \in I \times I$ , the map  $\varphi_i^{-1} \circ \varphi_j | (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{R}^k : (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{R}^k \to (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{R}^k$  is definable. Two definable atlases are equivalent if their union is still a definable atlas. A definable vector bundle is a vector bundle  $\eta = (E, p, X)$  equipped with an equivalence class of definable atlases.
- (2) Let  $(\eta, (U_i, \varphi_i)_{i \in I})$  and  $(\eta', (U'_j, \varphi'_j)_{j \in J})$  be two definable vector bundles over a definable set X. A vector bundle morphism  $\psi: \eta \to \eta'$  is said to be a definable vector bundle morphism if for every  $(i, j) \in I \times J$ , the map  $(\varphi'_j)^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \varphi_i | (U_i \cap U'_j) \times \mathbb{R}^k : (U_i \cap U'_j) \times \mathbb{R}^k \to (U_i \cap U'_j) \times \mathbb{R}^k$  is definable. A definable vector bundle morphism  $h: \eta \to \eta'$  is a definable vector bundle isomorphism if there exists a definable vector bundle morphism  $k: \eta' \to \eta$  such that  $h \circ k = id$  and  $k \circ h = id$ . A continuous section s of  $\eta$  is said to be a definable section if for every  $i \in I$ , the map  $\varphi_i^{-1} \circ s | U_i : U_i \to U_i \times \mathbb{R}^k$  is definable.

By abuse of notion, we denote by  $\eta=(E,p,X)$  a definable vector bundle without specifying the atlas defining its structure. Note that the total space of a definable vector bundle is a definable space.

## **Definition 4.2.** Let G be a definable group.

- (1) A definable vector bundle  $\eta = (E, p, X)$  is a definable G vector bundle if  $\eta$  satisfies the following two conditions:
- (a) The total space E is a definable G space and the base space X is a definable G set.
- (b) The projection  $p: E \to X$  is a definable G map, and for any  $x \in X$  and  $g \in G$ ,  $p^{-1}(x) \to p^{-1}(gx), y \mapsto gy$  is a linear isomorphism.
- (2) A definable G vector bundle morphism  $f: \eta \to \eta'$  between two definable G vector bundles  $\eta = (E, p, X)$  and  $\eta' = (E', p', X)$  is a definable G map  $f: E \to E'$  such that

 $p' \circ f = p$  and f is linear on each fiber. A definable G vector bundle morphism  $h: \eta \to \eta'$  is called a *definable* G vector bundle isomorphism if there exists a definable G vector bundle morphism  $k: \eta' \to \eta$  such that  $h \circ k = id$  and  $k \circ h = id$ .

(3) A definable section s of a definable G vector bundle is called a definable G section if it is a G map.

By a way similar to 3.1 [10], we have the following.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let G be a definable group. If  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  are two definable G vector bundle over a definable G set X, then  $\eta \oplus \eta', \eta \otimes \eta'$ ,  $Hom(\eta, \eta')$  and the dual bundle  $\eta^{\vee}$  of  $\eta$  are definable G vector bundles over X.

Recall universal G vector bundles (e.g. [11]).

**Definition 4.4.** Let G be a finite group and  $0 \le r \le \omega$ . Let  $\Omega$  be an n-dimensional representation of G and let B be the representation map  $G \to O_n(\mathbb{R})$  of  $\Omega$ . Suppose that  $M(\Omega)$  denotes the vector space of  $n \times n$ -matrices with the action  $(g, A) \in G \times M(\Omega) \to B(g)AB(g)^{-1} \in M(\Omega)$ . For any positive integer k, we define the vector bundle  $\gamma(\Omega, k) = (E(\Omega, k), u, G(\Omega, k))$  as follows:

$$G(\Omega, k) = \{ A \in M(\Omega) | A^2 = A, A = A', TrA = k \},$$
  

$$E(\Omega, k) = \{ (A, v) \in G(\Omega, k) \times \Omega | Av = v \},$$
  

$$u : E(\Omega, k) \to G(\Omega, k) : u((A, v)) = A,$$

where A' denotes the transposed matrix of A and Tr A stands for the trace of A. Then  $\gamma(\Omega,k)$  is an algebraic vector bundle. Since the action on  $\gamma(\Omega,k)$  is algebraic, it is an algebraic G vector bundle. We call it the universal G vector bundle associated with  $\Omega$  and K. Remark that  $G(\Omega,k)\subset M(\Omega)$  and  $E(\Omega,k)\subset M(\Omega)\times\Omega$  are nonsingular algebraic G sets.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (1). Let  $\eta$  be a definable G vector bundle over X. Then by a way similar to 12.7.4 [3], we can find a definable section  $s_1, \ldots, s_k$  of  $\eta$  such that the vectors  $s_1(x), \ldots, s_k(x)$  generate the fiber  $p^{-1}(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Remember that the set  $\Gamma(\eta)$  of continuous sections of  $\eta$  has a natural G action, namely  $(g \cdot s)(x) = g(s(g^{-1}(x))), s \in \Gamma(\eta), g \in G$  and  $x \in X$ . Since G is finite, we have a finite family of definable sections  $\{g \cdot s_i | 1 \leq i \leq k, g \in G\} \subset \Gamma(\eta)$  which is G invariant.

Hence this family of sections defines a representation  $\Omega$  of G, and for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{gs_i(x)|1 \leq i \leq k, g \in G\}$  defines a vector subspace  $V_x$  of  $\Omega$ . Therefore the orthogonal projection from  $\Omega$  onto  $V_x$  induces a definable G map  $F: X \to G(\Omega, k)$  such that  $\eta$  is definably G vector bundle isomorphic to  $F^*(G(\Omega, k))$ .

**Proposition 4.5** ([2], [13]). Let G be a compact Lie group, X a paracompact G space, and  $\eta$  a G vector bundle over a G space Y. If  $f, h: X \to Y$  are G homotopic continuous G maps, then  $f^*(\eta)$  and  $h^*(\eta)$  are G vector bundle isomorphic.

**Proposition 4.6** ([1], [18]). Let G be a compact topological group and X a compact G space. If  $\eta$  is a G vector bundle, then there exist a representation  $\Omega$  of G and a continuous G map  $f: X \to G(\Omega, k)$  such that  $\eta$  is G vector bundle isomorphic to  $f^*(\gamma(\Omega, k))$ .

By Proposition 4.5, 4.6, 3.5 and Theorem 3.3, we have the surjectivity of  $\kappa$ .

**Proposition 4.7.** Let G be a finite group and  $\eta$  a definable G vector bundle over a compact definable G set X. Then every continuous G section of  $\eta$  can be approximated by definable G sections.

Proof. By Theorem 1.3 (1),  $\eta$  is strongly definable. Hence one can find a representation  $\Omega$  of G and a definable G map  $f: X \to G(\Omega, k)$  such that  $\eta$  is definably G vector bundle isomorphic to  $f^*(\gamma(\Omega, k))$ , where k denotes the rank of  $\eta$ . Thus we can identify  $\eta$  with a subbundle of the trivial G vector bundle  $\underline{\Omega} = X \times \Omega$ . Under this identification, a map  $h: X \to \Omega$  is a section of  $\eta$  if and only if f(x)h(x) = h(x) for any  $x \in X$ . Let l be a continuous G section of  $\eta$ . We regard l as a continuous G map K to K. By Lemma 3.6, there exists a polynomial K0 map K1 is a an approximation of K2. Put K3 is a polynomial K4 map K5 is a definable K6 section approximating K6 because K6 is a definable K7. Therefore K8 is a definable K9 section approximating K9.

The following theorem proves the injectivity of  $\kappa$  when X is compact.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let G be a finite group. Let  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$  be definable G vector bundles over a compact definable G set. If  $\eta$  is G vector bundle isomorphic to  $\zeta$ , then they are definably G vector bundle isomorphic.

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 1.3 (1), Hom  $(\eta, \zeta)$  is strongly definable. Take a G vector bundle isomorphism f between  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$ . We can see f as a continuous G section of Hom  $(\eta, \zeta)$  which lies in Iso  $(\eta, \zeta)$ . By Proposition 4.7, there exists a definable G section s of Hom  $(\eta, \zeta)$  approximating f. If this approximation is sufficiently close, then s gives the required definable G vector bundle isomorphism because Iso  $(\eta, \zeta)$  is open in Hom  $(\eta, \zeta)$ .

Using Proposition 4.5, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.9. Let G be a finite group, X a compact G contractible definable G set. Then every definable G vector bundle over X is definably G vector bundle isomorphic to a trivial G bundle.

Let X be a definable G set. By Theorem 3.3, one can find a definable G retraction r from X to a compact definable G subset Y of X. Let  $i: Y \to X$  denote the inclusion. Then  $r^*: Vect_{def}^G(Y) \to Vect_{def}^G(X)$  is injective and  $i^*: Vect_{def}^G(X) \to Vect_{def}^G(X)$  is surjective because  $r \circ i = id_Y$ .

**Proposition 4.10.** Let X, Y, r be as in the immediately above paragraph. Then  $r^*$   $Vect_{def}^G(Y) \to Vect_{def}^G(X)$  is bijective.

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1, we may assume that X is a union of open G cells of a definable G CW complex C. We replace X and C by their second barycentric subdivisions. We use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Remember that the definable strong G deformation retraction R from X to Y constructed in Theorem 3.3 is  $R^1 \bullet R^2 \bullet \cdots \bullet R^{m-1} \bullet R^m$ . Note that  $r_i := R_i(\cdot, 1)$  is a definable G retraction from  $X_i$  to  $X_{i-1}$  and the definable G retraction r from X to Y is given by  $r := r_1 \circ \cdots \circ r_m \ (= R(\cdot, 1))$ . By construction, for each n with  $1 \le n \le m$ ,  $r|X_n : X_n \to Y$   $(= r_1 \circ \cdots \circ r_n)$  is a definable G retraction from  $X_n$  to Y.

Let  $\eta$  be a definable G vector bundle over X. By induction, we now construct a definable G vector bundle isomorphism  $\Phi: \eta \to r^*(\eta|Y)$ . Assume that we have a definable G vector bundle isomorphism  $\Phi_{n-1}: \eta|X_{n-1} \to (r|X_{n-1})^*(\eta|Y)$ . Then it induces a definable G vector bundle isomorphism  $\Phi'_n: (r_n)^*(\eta|X_{n-1}) \to (r_n)^*(r|X_{n-1})^*(\eta|Y) \cong (r|X_n)^*(\eta|Y)$ .

For an open G-n cell  $c \in C_n$ , let  $f_c : G/H \times \Delta \to \overline{c} \subset C$  denote its definable characteristic map. As in the proof of Theorem 3.3, we can find a proper subset  $\Delta'$  of  $\Delta$  obtained by removing some lower dimensional faces of  $\Delta$  such that  $f_c^{-1}(\overline{c} \cap X) = G/H \times \Delta'$ . Let  $\delta = f_c(\{eH\} \times \Delta')$  and  $\sigma = f_c(\{eH\} \times \operatorname{Int} \Delta)$ . Then the H actions on  $\{eH\} \times \Delta'$  and  $\delta$  are trivial, and  $r_n|G\delta : G\delta \to G\delta$  is a definable G retraction from  $G\delta$  to  $G\partial\delta$ .

Put  $\zeta = \overline{f_c}^*(\eta)$ , where  $\overline{f_c} : \Delta' \to X$  denotes the composition of  $\Delta' \to \{eH\} \times \Delta', x \mapsto (eH, x)$  with  $f_c$ . By Theorem 1.3 (1),  $\zeta$  is strongly definable. Thus we have a definable H map  $\varphi : \Delta' \to G(\Omega, k)$  such that  $\zeta$  is definably H vector bundle isomorphic to  $\varphi^*(\gamma(\Omega, k))$ , where k denotes the rank of  $\eta$ . Since  $G(\Omega, k)$  is compact,  $\Delta$  is a closed simplex and  $\varphi$  is definable,  $\varphi$  has a definable H extension  $\varphi' : \Delta \to G(\Omega, k)$ . Using  $\varphi'$ , we get a strongly definable H vector bundle  $(\varphi')^*(\gamma(\Omega, k))$  over  $\Delta$  such that  $(\varphi')^*(\gamma(\Omega, k))|\Delta'$  is definably H vector bundle isomorphic to  $\zeta$ .

Since  $\Delta$  is a compact H contractible definable H set and by Corollary 4.9,  $(\varphi')^*(\gamma(\Omega, k))$  is definably H vector bundle isomorphic to a trivial definable H vector bundle  $\Delta \times V$  for some representation V of H. In particular,  $\zeta$  is trivial.

Remember that  $F_{\delta}^n: \delta \times [0,1] \to \delta$  is a definable strong H deformation retraction from  $\delta$  to  $\partial \delta$ . Let  $r_{\delta} := F_{\delta}^n(\cdot,1)$ . Recall that the characteristic map  $f_c: G/H \times \Delta \to \overline{c} \subset C$  is itself a definable G homeomorphism as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Since  $\zeta$  is trivial, so is  $\eta' := \eta | \delta$ . We identify  $\eta'$  with  $\delta \times V$  and  $\eta' | \partial \delta$  with  $\partial \delta \times V$ . Let  $l: \eta' | \partial \delta \to \partial \delta \times V, l(x,v) = (x, l_x(v))$  be a definable H vector bundle isomorphism. Then the definable H vector bundle isomorphism  $\delta \times V \to \delta \times V$  defined by  $(x,v) \mapsto (x, l_{r_{\delta}(x)}(v))$  induces a definable H vector bundle isomorphism  $\Psi_{\delta}: \eta' \to r_{\delta}^*(\eta' | \partial \delta)$  such that  $\Psi_{\delta} | \partial \delta$  is the identity. Hence we have a definable G vector bundle isomorphism  $G \times_H \Psi_{\delta}: G \times_H \eta' \to G \times_H (r_{\delta}^*(\eta' | \partial \delta))$  such that  $G \times_H \Psi_{\delta} | G \times_H \partial \delta$  is the identity. It induces a definable G vector bundle isomorphism  $\Psi_{G\delta}: \eta | G\delta \to (r_n | G\delta)^*(\eta | G\partial \delta)$  such that  $\Psi_{G\delta} | G\partial \delta$  is the identity. Thus it provides a definable G vector bundle isomorphism  $\Psi_n: \eta | X_n \to (r_n)^*(\eta | X_{n-1})$ . Hence we have a definable G vector bundle isomorphism  $\Phi_n: \eta | X_n \to (r_n)^*(\eta | X_{n-1})$ . Hence we have a definable G vector bundle isomorphism  $\Phi_n: \eta | X_n \to (r_n)^*(\eta | Y)$  defined by  $\Phi_n = \Phi'_n \circ \Psi_n$ . Therefore  $\Phi = \Phi_m$  is the required definable G vector bundle isomorphism and  $r^*$  is bijective.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (2). It suffices to prove injectivity of  $\kappa$ . By Proposition 4.10 and 4.5, the induced maps  $r^*: Vect^G_{def}(Y) \to Vect^G_{def}(X), r^*: Vect^G_{top}(Y) \to Vect^G_{top}(X)$  by  $r: Y \to X$  are bijective. Let  $\kappa_Y: Vect^G_{def}(Y) \to Vect^G_{top}(Y), \kappa_Y([\eta]^G_{def}) = [\eta]^G_{top}$ . Then two maps  $\kappa \circ r^*, r^* \circ \kappa_Y: Vect^G_{def}(Y) \to Vect^G_{top}(X)$  coincide. Since Y is compact,  $\kappa_Y$  is bijective. Therefore  $\kappa$  is bijective. Therefore the proof of Theorem 1.3 (2) is complete.  $\square$ 

To prove Theorem 1.4, we need the following four results.

**Theorem 4.11** (4.13 [11]). Let G be a finite group. Let X and Y be affine definable  $C^rG$  manifolds and  $0 \le k < r < \infty$ . Then every definable  $C^kG$  map  $f: X \to Y$  is approximated in the definable  $C^k$  topology by definable  $C^rG$  maps.

Note that if X is compact, then the definable  $C^k$  topology coincides with the  $C^k$  Whitney topology. Detailed properties of the definable  $C^k$  topology can be seen in [11].

**Lemma 4.12** (4.12 [11]). If G is a finite group and  $0 < r < \infty$ , then for every definable  $C^rG$  submanifold X in a representation  $\Omega$  of G, there exist a G invariant definable open neighborhood U of X in  $\Omega$  and a definable  $C^rG$  map  $p: U \to X$  such that  $p|X = id_X$ .

The following proposition is an equivariant definable  $C^r$  version of Proposition 3.8.

**Proposition 4.13.** Let G be a finite group, A and B disjoint definable closed G subsets of an affine definable  $C^rG$  manifold X and  $0 \le r < \infty$ . Then there exists a G invariant definable  $C^r$  function  $f: X \to [0,1]$  such that  $A = f^{-1}(0)$  and  $B = f^{-1}(1)$ .

*Proof.* Using [8], there exists a nonequivariant definable  $C^r$  function  $f_1: X \to [0,1]$  such that  $A = f^{-1}(0)$  and  $B = f^{-1}(1)$ . Then the averaged function  $f: X \to [0,1]$  of  $f_1$  defined by  $f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_1(g_i x)$  is the required function, where  $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$ .

**Proposition 4.14** (1.8 [11]). Let G be a finite group, X an affine definable  $C^rG$  manifold and  $1 \le r < \infty$ . Then for any two definable  $C^rG$  vector bundle over X, if they are definable G vector bundle isomorphic, then they are definably  $C^rG$  vector bundle isomorphic.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We first prove (1). Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a continuous G map. Then by Theorem 1.2, f is G homotopic to a definable G map  $f': X \to Y$ . By Theorem 4.11, we have a definable  $C^rG$  map  $h: X \to Y$  as an approximation of f'. If this approximation is sufficiently close, then using Lemma 4.12, one can show that f' is G homotopic to h. Thus f and h are G homotopic. Therefore surjectivity of  $\mu'$  is proved

Assume that two definable  $C^rG$  maps  $f_1, f_2 : X \to Y$  are G homotopic. Then by Theorem 1.2, they are definably G homotopic. Take a definable G homotopy  $X \times [0,1] \to Y$  from  $f_1$  to  $f_2$ , and we extend it to a definable G map  $H: X \times \mathbb{R} \to Y$ . By Theorem 4.11, there exists a definable  $C^rG$  map  $H': X \times \mathbb{R} \to Y$  approximating H.

For any positive G invariant definable function  $\epsilon: X \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{(x,t) \in X \times \mathbb{R} | t \leq \epsilon(x)\}$  and  $\{(x,t) \in X \times \mathbb{R} | t \geq 2\epsilon(x)\}$  are disjoint definable closed G subsets of  $X \times \mathbb{R}$ . Thus by Proposition 4.13, there exists a G invariant definable  $C^r$  function  $\lambda_1: X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$  such that  $\lambda_1^{-1}(0) = \{(x,t) \in X \times \mathbb{R} | t \leq \epsilon(x)\}$  and  $\lambda_1^{-1}(1) = \{(x,t) \in X \times \mathbb{R} | t \geq 2\epsilon(x)\}$ . Similarly, we have a G invariant definable  $C^r$  function  $\lambda_2: X \times \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$  such that  $\lambda_2^{-1}(1) = \{(x,t) \in X \times \mathbb{R} | t \leq 1 - 2\epsilon(x)\}$  and  $\lambda_2^{-1}(0) = \{(x,t) \in X \times \mathbb{R} | t \geq 1 - \epsilon(x)\}$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a representation of G containing Y as a definable  $C^rG$  submanifold. By Lemma 4.12, there exist a G invariant definable open neighborhood U of Y in  $\Omega$  and a definable  $C^rG$  map  $p:U\to Y$  such that  $p|Y=id_Y$ .

If H' is a sufficiently close approximation of H and  $\epsilon$  is a sufficiently small positive G invariant definable function, then  $\tilde{H}: X \times \mathbb{R} \to Y$ ,

$$\tilde{H}(x,t) = \begin{cases} p((1-\lambda_1(t))f_1(x) + \lambda_1(t)H'(x,t)), & (x,t) \in X \times (-\infty, \frac{1}{2}] \\ p((1-\lambda_2(t))f_2(x) + \lambda_2(t)H'(x,t)), & (x,t) \in X \times [\frac{1}{2},\infty) \end{cases}$$

is a definable  $C^rG$  map such that  $\tilde{H}(x,0)=f_1(x)$  and  $\tilde{H}(x,1)=f_2(x)$  for all  $x\in X$ . Therefore  $f_1$  is definably  $C^rG$  homotopic to  $f_2$ .

Now we prove (2). Let  $\eta$  be a G vector bundle over X of rank k. Then by Theorem 1.3,  $\eta$  is G vector bundle isomorphic to a strongly definable G vector bundle  $\eta'$  over X. Thus we can find a representation  $\Xi$  of G and a definable G map  $f: X \to G(\Xi, k)$  such

that  $\eta'$  is definably G vector bundle isomorphic to  $f^*(\gamma(\Xi,k))$ . By Theorem 4.11, we have a definable  $C^rG$  map  $F:X\to G(\Xi,k)$  as an approximation of f. If this approximation is sufficiently close, then f is definably G homotopic to F. Hence by Proposition 4.5 and Theorem 1.3,  $f^*(\gamma(\Xi,k))$  is definably G vector bundle isomorphic to  $F^*(\gamma(\Xi,k))$ . Therefore  $\eta$  is G vector bundle isomorphic to a (strongly) definable  $C^rG$  vector bundle  $F^*(\gamma(\Xi,k))$ .

Let  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  be definable  $C^rG$  vector bundles over X which are G vector bundle isomorphic. Then by Theorem 1.3, they are definably G vector bundle isomorphic. Thus by Proposition 4.14, they are definably  $C^rG$  vector bundle isomorphic. Therefore (2) is proved.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, K-theory, Benjamin, 1967.
- [2] E. Bierstone, The equivariant covering homotopy property for differentiable G-fibre bundles, J. Diff. Geom. 8 (1973), 615–622.
- [3] J. Bochnak, M. Coste and M.F. Roy, Géométie algébrique réelle, Springer-Verlag (1987).
- [4] H. Delf and M. Knebusch, Separation, retraction and homotopy extension in semialgebraic spaces, Pacific J. Math. 114 (1) (1984), 47-71.
- [5] L. van den Dries, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*, Lecture notes series **248**, London Math. Soc. Cambridge Univ. Press (1998).
- [6] L. van den Dries, A. Macintyre, and D. Marker, Logarithmic-exponential power series, J. London. Math. Soc., II. Ser. 56, No.3 (1997), 417-434.
- [7] L. van den Dries, A. Macintyre, and D. Marker, The elementary theory of restricted analytic field with exponentiation, Ann. Math. 140 (1994), 183-205.
- [8] L. van den Dries and C. Miller, Geometric categories and o-minimal structures, Duke Math. J. 84 (1996), 497-540.
- [9] L. van den Dries and P. Speissegger, The real field with convergent generalized power series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350, (1998), 4377-4421.
- [10] T. Kawakami, Algebraic G vector bundles and Nash G vector bundles, Chinese J. Math. 22 (1994), 275–289.
- [11] T. Kawakami, Equivariant differential topology in an o-minimal expansion of the field of real numbers, Topology Appl. 123 (2002), 323-349.
- [12] T. Kawakami, Imbedding of manifolds defined on an o-minimal structures on  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <)$ , Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **36** (1999), 183–201.
- [13] R. K. Lashof, Equivariant Bundles, Illinois J. Math. 26(2) (1982), 257-271.
- [14] D.H. Park and D.Y. Suh, Equivariant semialgebraic homotopies, Topology Appl. 115 (2001), 153-174.
- [15] D.H. Park and D.Y. Suh, Semialgebraic G CW complex structure of semialgebraic G spaces, J. Korean Math. Soc. 35 (1998), 371–386.
- [16] Y. Peterzil, A. Pillay and S. Starchenko, *Definably simple groups in o-minimal structures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 4397–4419.
- [17] A. Pillay, On groups and fields definable in o-minimal structures, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 53 (1988), 239-255.
- [18] G. Segal, Equivariant K-theory, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 34 (1968), 129–151.
- [19] A. Tarski, A decision method for elementary algebra and geometry, 2nd edition. revised, Berkeley and Los Angeles (1951).

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Wakayama University, Sakaedani Wakayama 640-8510, Japan

E-mail address: kawa@center.wakayama-u.ac.jp