# Definable G vector bundles over a definable G set with free action

Tomohiro Kawakami

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Wakayama University, Sakaedani, Wakayama 640-8510, Japan kawa@center.wakayama-u.ac.jp

Received September 27, 2016

## Abstract

We prove that the set of isomorphism classes of definable G vector bundles over a definable G set X is in one-to-one correspondence to that of definable vector bundles over a definable set X/G when the action on X is free.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14P10, 03C64.

Keywords and Phrases. O-minimal, real closed fields, definable G vector bundles, definable G sets, free action.

#### 1. Introduction.

Let G be a compact Lie group. It is wellknown that the set of isomorphism classes of G vector bundle over a G space space with free action corresponds bijectively to the set of isomorphism classes of vector bundles over the orbit space [1].

Let  $\mathcal{N} = (R, +, \cdot, <, \dots)$  be an o-minimal expansion of a real closed field R. Everything is considered in  $\mathcal{N}$  and the term "definable" is used throughout in the sense of "definable with parameters in  $\mathcal{N}$ ", each definable map is assumed to be continuous.

General references on o-minimal structures are [2], [3], also see [9].

In this paper we prove that the set of isomorphism classes of definable G vector bundles over a definable G set X is in one-to-one correspondence to that of definable vector bundles over a definable set X/G when the action on X is free. **Theorem 1.1.** Let G be a definably compact definable group and X a definable G set. If G acts on X freely, then the set of isomorphism classes of definable G vector bundles over X corresponds bijectively to the set of isomorphism classes of definable vector bundles over X/G.

If R is the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers, then a semialgebraic case of Theorem 1.1 is proved in [7]. Definable G vector bundles are studied in [6], [5], [4].

## 2. Proof of our result.

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be definable sets. A continuous map  $f: X \to Y$ is *definable* if the graph of  $f (\subset X \times Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$  is a definable set. A group G is a *definable group* if G is a definable set and the group operations  $G \times G \to G$  and  $G \to G$ are definable. A definable subset X of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is definably compact if for every definable map  $f : (a, b)_R \to X$ , there exist the limits  $\lim_{x\to a+0} f(x)$ ,  $\lim_{x\to b-0} f(x)$  in X, where  $(a, b)_R = \{x \in R | a < x < b\}, -\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ . A definable subset X of  $R^n$  is definably compact if and only if X is closed and bounded ([8]). Note that if X is a definably compact definable set and  $f : X \to Y$  is a definable map, then f(X) is definably compact.

If R is the field of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , then for any definable subset X of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , X is compact if and only if it is definably compact. In general, a definably compact set is not necessarily compact. For example, if  $R = \mathbb{R}_{alg}$ , then  $[0,1]_{\mathbb{R}_{alg}} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_{alg} | 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$  is definably compact but not compact.

Let G be a definably compact definable group. A group homomorphism from G to some  $O_n(R)$  is a representation if it is definable, where  $O_n(R)$  means the nth orthogonal group of R. A representation space of G is  $R^n$  with the orthogonal action induced from a representation of G. We say that a G invariant definable subset of a representation space of G is a definable G set.

Recall existence of definable quotient.

**Theorem 2.1.** (Existence of definable quotient (e.g. 10. 2.18 [2])). Let G be a definably compact definable group and X a definable G set. Then the orbit space X/Gexists as a definable set and the orbit map  $\pi : X \to X/G$  is surjective, definable and definably proper.

Let X, Y be a definable G sets. A definable map  $f : X \to Y$  is a *definable* G map if for any  $g \in G, x \in X, f(gx) = gf(x)$ .

Let G be a definably compact definable group and  $\eta = (E, \pi, X)$  a definable G vector bundle. By Theorem 2.1, E/G and X/Gare definable sets, and  $\pi$  induces a definable map  $\pi/G : E/G \to X/G$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let G be a definably compact definable group and  $\eta = (E, \pi, X)$  a definable G vector bundle. Then the quotient bundle  $\eta = (E/G, \pi/G, X/G)$  is a definable vector bundle. To prove Proposition 2.2, we need two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be definable sets. If  $f: X \to Y$  is a surjective definable map, then there exists a definable map  $h: Y \to X$  such that  $f \circ h = id_Y$ .

*Proof.* Replacing X by the graph of f, we may assume that f is a restriction of the projection  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ . We now prove the case where n = 1. By cell decomposition theorem (e.g. [2]), there exists a cell decomposition compatible with X. By definition of cells, we can construct a definable map h satisfying  $f \circ h = id_Y$ . In general case, we have the required map because the projection is the composition of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \cdots \to \mathbb{R}^m$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.4.** Let X, Y, Z be definable sets,  $s : X \to Y, t : Y \to Z$  definable maps and  $u : Y \to Z$  a map. If t is surjective and  $s = u \circ t$ , then u is a definable map.

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.3, there exists a definable map  $q: Y \to X$  such that  $t \circ q = id_Y$ . Therefore  $u = u \circ id_Y = u \circ t \circ q = s \circ q$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let  $\eta = (E, \pi, X)$ be a definable G vector bundle over X. Let  $q_X, q_E$  be the orbit maps of  $X \to X/G, E \to E/G$ , respectively. Set  $p = q_X \circ \pi$ . Then  $p = \pi/G \circ q_E$ . By Lemma 3.4,  $\pi/G : E/G \to X/G$  is a definable map.

Let  $\{U_1, \pi_1\}$  be a definable trivialization of  $\eta$ . Since any fiber of  $\eta$  has the trivial action, we have a definable trivialization  $\{q_X,$  $\pi'_1$  is of  $\eta/G$ , where  $\pi'_1$  is the map satisfying  $(p_X|U_i \times id) \circ \pi_i = \pi'_1 \circ q_E|\pi^{-1}(U_i)$ . Hence  $\eta/G = (E/G, \pi/G, X/G)$  is a definable vector bundle over X/G. Thus we can define the map  $F: VEC(X) \to VEC(X/G)$  by  $F(\eta) = \eta/G$ , where VEC(X) (resp. VEC(X/G) denotes the set of isomorphism classes of definable G vector bundles (resp. the set of isomorphism classes of definable vector bundles) over X (resp. X/G). The map  $K : VEC(X/G) \rightarrow VEC(X)$  defined by  $K(\xi) = q_X^*(\xi)$  satisfies  $F \circ K = id, K \circ F =$ *id.* Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$ 

# References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, *K-theory*, Benjamin, 1967.
- [2] L. van den Dries, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*, Lecture notes series
  248, London Math. Soc. Cambridge Univ. Press (1998).
- [3] L. van den Dries and C. Miller, Geometric categories and o-minimal structures, Duke Math. J. 84 (1996), 497-540.
- [4] T. Kawakami, Definable C<sup>r</sup> fiber bundles and definable C<sup>r</sup>G vector bundles, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 23 (2008), 257–268.
- [5] T. Kawakami, Definable  $C^r$  groups and proper definable actions, Bull. Fac. Ed.

Wakayama Univ. Natur. Sci. 58 (2008), 9–18.

- [6] T. Kawakami, Equivariant differential topology in an o-minimal expansion of the field of real numbers, Topology Appl. 123 (2002), 323-349.
- [7] T. Kawakami and R. Fujita, Semialgebraic G vector bundles over a semialgebraic G set with free action, Bull. Osaka Prefect. College Tech. 28 (1994), 77–79.
- [8] Y. Peterzil and C. Steinhorn, Definable compactness and definable subgroups of o-minimal groups, J. London Math. Soc. 59 (1999), 769–786.
- [9] M. Shiota, Geometry of subanalytic and semialgebraic sets, Progress in Mathematics 150, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.